

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/687,269	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MACDONALD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES H. ALSTRUM ACEVEDO	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) JAMES H. ALSTRUM ACEVEDO.

(2) Mr. Douglas Lineberry, Esq.

**Date of Interview:** 28 June 2010

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~ 4 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Lineberry after consulting with his SPE and a quality assurance specialist (Dr. Johnny Railey III) concerning the proposed amendments faxed to the Examiner on Friday, June 24th, in which claim 1 was amended to specify that the two or more zones were juxtaposed and that the different zones had differing concentrations of the visual indicator (i.e. concentrations arranged in a gradient) to convey information about the remaining odor absorbing capacity of the claimed article. The Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments would place the claims in condition for allowance and that Mr. Lineberry should submit an after final response with the aforementioned amendments and the necessary extension of time fee..